# APPLICATION OF INTEGRATED AIR/ENERGY MEASURES IN LOCAL AIR POLLUTION CONTROL

# RENEWABLES HAVE EXTREMELY LOW EMISSION PROFILES (BIOMASS AND GEOTHERMAL HAVE SOME SMALL IMPACTS)

# SIZE OF BENEFITS DEPENDS ON TYPE OF GENERATION DISPLACED. LARGEST BENEFITS COME FROM REPLACING COALFIRED GENERATION

#### Background

- Harmonized strategies for reducing GHGs and criteria pollutants
- Fossil fuel combustion is a major source of CO<sub>2</sub> as well as PM, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and CO
- Strong relationship with Ozone

#### Objectives

- Reduce GHGs without impeding progress towards other clean air goals
- Capitalize on opportunities for co-control benefits to achieve GHG <u>and</u> air pollution benefits with economic efficiency
- Highlight technology and policy options

#### Outline

- Sectors
  - Fossil-Fueled Power Generation
  - Renewable Power Generation
  - Transportation
  - Energy-Intensive Industries
  - Residential/Commercial Buildings
  - Municipal Solid Waste
  - Agriculture and Forestry
  - Carbon Sequestration
- Market-Based Mechanisms
- Harmonized Strategy Case Studies
- Conclusions and Next Steps

# Why Does a Multi-Pollutant Strategy Make Sense?

- Increased Environmental Protection
- More Expeditious Attainment
- Regulatory Certainty for Utilities
- Increased Efficiencies

# STAPPA/ALAPCO Menu of Options for Multi-Pollutant Strategies

Analyzed Every Economic Sector

∠ Utilities ∠ Res/Com

Reviewed Market Mechanisms

Modeled Case Studies in Four Areas

# Electric Industry Criteria Pollutant Emissions, 1997

Pollutant	Electric Utility Emissions (Short Tons)	Portion of Total U.S. Emissions
SO <sub>2</sub>	12,632,000	64%
NO <sub>x</sub>	6,178,000	26%
PM <sub>10</sub>	290,000	<1%
VOC	51,000	<1%
Lead	64,000	2%
СО	406,000	<1%

#### **Electric Utility GHG Emissions, 1997**

Greenhouse Gas	Electric Utility Emissions (MMTCE)	Portion of Total U.S. Emissions
CO <sub>2</sub>	532.3	37%
Methane	0.1	<1%
Nitrous Oxides	2.3	2%

#### **Power Generation Policy Options**

- Comparable Emission Standards
- Output-Based Emission Standards
- Tax Credits and Subsidies
- Environmental Disclosure
- Emissions Portfolio Standards
- Renewable Portfolio Standards
- Emissions Trading

#### Renewable Power Technology Options

- Hydropower
- Biomass Combustion
- Geothermal Systems
- Wind Turbines
- Photovoltaics
- Solar Thermal

# Renewable Power Strategies: Costs and Emissions Reductions

Technology	Capital Cost (\$/kW)	Total Costs (\$/kWh)	Cost of CO <sub>2</sub> Reductions (\$/ton)	Percent CO <sub>2</sub> Reduction	Percent SO <sub>2</sub> Reduction	Percent NO <sub>x</sub> Reduction
Wind	950-1,100	0.039 - 0.07	8-47	100	100	100
PV (High Volume)	4,500	0.21	153-166	100	100	100
Solar Thermal (Parabolic Trough)	2,700	0.10-0.13	60-98	100	100	100
Biomass	1,900-2,100	0.05-0.095	18-64	100	98-100	83-99
Landfill Gas (Fuel Cell)	5,000	0.07-0.10	0.01-0.02	4,090	>99	>99
Geothermal (Flash Stream)	1,400	0.05-0.08	18-58	96	97	100
Hydro at a New Site	1,700-2,300	0.055-0.07	21-47	100	100	100
Hydro at an Existing Dam	900-1,100	0.033-0.037	3-19	100 <sup>b</sup>	100	100

#### **Renewable Power Policy Options**

- Tax Credits
- Systems Benefit Charges
- Air Permitting Exemptions
- Green Pricing Programs
- Renewable Portfolio Standards
- Environmental Disclosure
- Output-Based Emission Standards

# Evaluation of Harmonized Control Strategies

 Developed "What-If" Scenarios For Four Areas:

Atlanta, GA
Louisville, KY
New Hampshire
Ventura, CA

 Areas Selected Power Generation, Transportation Industrial and Commercial Measures to Reduce CO<sub>2</sub> and other Air Pollutants

# Harmonized Strategy Summary for the Four Case Study Areas

	Level of Penetration Modeling for Each Area						
Harmonized Strategies by Sector	New Hampshire	Atlanta, GA	Louisville, KY	Ventura County, CA			
Electric Generation	1						
Gas-fired generation converted to gas combined-cycle	0%	0%	0%	100%			
Fuel switch from oil or coal to natural gas	25%	20%	0%	0%			
Coal-fired capacity displaced by natural gas combined-cycle capacity	50%	30%	25%	0%			
Fossil-fuel generation displaced by renewables	1%	1%	1%	1%			
Fossil-fuel generation displaced by fuel cells	1%	1%	1%	1%			

### New Hampshire on Reductions from Modele

## **Emission Reductions from Modeled Harmonized Strategies (tpy)**

Harmonized Strategy	SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	PM	VOC	СО	CO <sub>2</sub>
Oil-fired electric generation to natural gas (25%)	2,132	161	25	0	0	118,396
Coal-fired generation displaced by natural gas combined-cycle (50%)	19,220	7,430	873	0	0	1,145,015
Renewables penetration (1%)	256	85	10	1	5	33,660
Fuel cell penetration (1%)	256	85	10	1	5	22,625
Electricity consumption DSM (5% Commercial/Residential)	1,255	418	48	6	25	165,484
Electricity consumption DSM (2% Industrial)	166	55	6	1	3	21,844
Total Electric Generation Emission Reductions	23,285	8,234	972	9	38	1,507,024
% Reduction	49%	46%	48%	8%	2%	31%

### Atlanta, GA Reductions from Modele

### **Emission Reductions from Modeled Harmonized Strategies (tpy)**

Harmonized Strategy	SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	PM	VOC	СО	CO <sub>2</sub>
Oil/coal generation to natural gas ( up to 20%)	8,528	2,005	39	0	0	702,181
Coal displaced by natural gas combined-cycle (30%)	12,792	3,686	62	0	0	1,245,457
Renewables penetration (1%)	215	72	5	1	8	37,035
Fuel cell penetration (1%)	215	72	5	1	8	20,706
Electricity consumption DSM (5% Commercial/Residential)	694	233	17	3	25	120,310
Electricity consumption DSM (2% Industrial)	139	47	3	1	5	24,062
Total Electric Generation Emission Reductions	22,582	6,114	133	6	46	2,149,750
% Reduction	53%	47%	21%	5%	6%	38%

### Louisville, KY n Reductions from Modele

### **Emission Reductions from Modeled Harmonized Strategies (tpy)**

Harmonized Strategy	SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	PM	VOC	СО	CO <sub>2</sub>
Coal-fired generation displaced by natural gas combined-cycle (25%)	15,054	7,276	65	0	0	2,911,701
Renewables penetration (1%)	452	227	3	2	14	136,189
Fuel cell penetration (1%)	452	227	3	2	14	105,769
Electricity consumption DSM (5% Commercial/Residential)	1,461	733	10	5	45	441,440
Electricity consumption DSM (2% Industrial)	292	147	2	1	9	88,288
Total Electric Generation Emission Reductions	17,710	8,609	83	10	81	3,683,388
% Reduction	29%	29%	23%	6%	6%	22%

# Ventura County, CA Emission Reductions from Modeled Harmonized Strategies (tpy)

Harmonized Strategy	SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	PM	VOC	СО	CO <sub>2</sub>
Gas-fired generation to combined-cycle (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	532,874
Renewables penetration (1%)	0	4	.5	0	8	9,981
Fuel cell penetration (1%)	0	4	.5	0	8	3,310
Electricity consumption DSM (5% Commercial/Residential)	0	12	1	0	27	32,498
Electricity consumption DSM (2% Industrial)	0	2	0	0	5	6,500
Total Electric Generation Emission Reductions	0	22	2	0	49	585,163
% Reduction	0%	6%	5%	0%	6%	38%

#### Percent Reduction from Baseline Emissions in Each Case Study Area

Area	SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	PM	VOC	CO	CO <sub>2</sub>
New Hampshire	41%	17%	12%	3%	4%	12%
Atlanta, GA	40%	6%	1%	3%	4%	7%
Louisville, KY	26%	14%	3%	3%	4%	15%
Ventura County, CA	2%	4%	1%	4%	4%	11%

#### Conclusions

- Tremendous Opportunities Exist for Co-Controlling GHGs and Other Air Pollutants
- Harmonized Strategies Are Available In Every Sector of the Economy
- Strategies Can Achieve Significant Reductions in GHGs and Conventional Pollutants
- Harmonized Strategies Can Be Tailored Based On the Needs and Circumstances of Individual Areas

#### Next Steps

- Final STAPPA/ALAPCO Report on Harmonized Strategies Widely Distributed and Discussed
- STAPPA/ALAPCO Currently Developing User-Friendly Planning Software Tool That Will Enable Users to Easily Assess the Benefits Available from Applying Harmonized Strategies
- Software Tool Targeted for Completion in early 2002

REGULATORS CAN PLAY A MEANINGFUL ROLE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF RE THROUGH POLICIES AND PROGRAMS UNDER **OUR JURISDICTION AND** THROUGH WORK WITH OUR COUNTERPARTS IN OTHER AGENCIES-STATE ENERGY OFFICES AND UTILITY COMMISSIONS

STATE LOCAL AIR AGENCY **ACTIONS** MAKE RE PRIORITY BUILD INTERNAL CAPACITY **BUILD RELATIONSHIPS** WITH OTHER AGENCIES EVALUATE AREA FOR RE POSSIBILITIES BUILD STAKEHOLDER SUPPORT

# OTHER AIR AGENCY ROLES

- •MARKET MAKER-FLEET PURCHASES
- •BUILDING EFFICIENCY
- •PROCUREMENT LEVERAGE

# SOME LOUISVILLE APCD ENERGY INITIATIVES

FERC-HYDROELECTRIC
DSM INTERVENTION
ICLEI URBAN HEAT ISLAND
LAWN CARE FOR CLEANER AIR
CH&P PROJECT
LAND USE INITIATIVES-TREE COVER,
INFILL, MULTI-MODAL REQUIREMENT

#### APCD ENERGY INITIATIVES

- •GREEN BUILDING DESIGN CHARETTE
- •ICLEI CITIES FOR CLIMATE PROTECTION
- •USDOE/KYDOE INDUSTRIAL ENERGY AUDIT
- •EE/EC PUBLIC WORKSHOPS

#### APCD INTEGRATED APPROACH

•DEVELOP INTEGRATED TEAM-LAND USE, TRANSPORTATION, E2, P2, SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT TO WORK WITH ENGINEERING STAFF AND REGULATED BUSINESSES